

of facilities, such as, water, light, proper road and lay-outs have come to their notice ;

(c) if so, the steps taken in this behalf ;

(d) the amount required for the formation of lay-outs in these extensions ;

(e) whether it is possible for them to commence this work immediately at their cost ;

(f) if not, the steps they would take for forming the said lay-outs ?

A.—Sri T. CHANNIAH (Minister for Public Health and Local Self-Government).—

(a) Except Ramachandrapura the other areas mentioned are within the municipal limits.

(b) Yes.

(c) Note appended below :—

Swatantrapalya.—This area of 13 acres has to be handed over to the authorities of the Mysore Spinning and Manufacturing Co., Ltd., for housing their labourers as this was acquired on behalf of them for the same purpose. The matter is being reconsidered with the Mill authorities to avoid inconvenience to the present residents of the area.

Ramachandrapuram.—The City Improvement Trust Board have surveyed the area and have prepared a scheme for the improvement of the area.

Lakshminarayanapuram.—This area has also been surveyed by the City Improvement Trust Board and scheme for the improvement of the area also prepared.

Arjuna Colony.—This is a slum on Corporation land. With a view to avoid much inconvenience to the present residents it is proposed to take up the improvement of this area stage by stage regularising the existing roads by way of removing only the obstructing huts. On these lines the work has already been commenced.

Pit-Colony.—About 30 per cent of the inhabitants of this area will have to be shifted elsewhere to undertake improvement in this area. This is

being considered by the Corporation and action is being taken to improve this area. Amenities such as public conveniences, light and taps have already been given.

Mariyappan Palya.—Action has been taken for this area by the City Improvement Trust Board.

Kurubaragudisalu (Maruthi Extension).—This has also been surveyed and scheme prepared by the City Improvement Trust Board.

Kodandaramapuram.—A scheme is being prepared by the City Improvement Trust Board and it is proposed to take up the work in the four-year scheme of the City Improvement Trust Board.

Dobbespeth-Vasanthanagar.—A scheme for improvement of this area at a cost of Rs. 12,18,000 has recently been sanctioned by the Government and forwarded to the City Improvement Trust Board for execution.

(d) (1) Ramachandrapuram, Lakshminarayanapuram and Maruthi Extension : Rs. 19,24,900.

(2) Improvement to slums at Ranganathapuram (shed area) : Rs. 1,61,000.

(3) Improvement to Dobbespeth and Vasanthanagar including surrounding areas : Rs. 12,18,000.

No regular estimates are prepared in respect of the other areas.

(e) No.

(f) The schemes are proposed to be taken up for execution after collecting at least 75 per cent of the lay-out charges.

Khayamgutta Medihalli Tank (Repairs).

Q.—673. Sri M. C. ANJANEYA REDDY (Chintamani).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the number of years passed since the tank of Khayamgutta Medihalli, Vemagal Hobli, Kolar Taluk breached ;

(SRI M. C. ANJANEYA REDDY.)

(b) when was the estimate for restoring the tank prepared ;

(c) the reasons for not restoring this tank so far ?

A.—Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue and Public Works).—

(a) About 50.

(b) First in 1912 and more recently in 1936.

(c) The Jodidar did not furnish the necessary security for the work sanctioned to him by Government in 1936 towards his share of cost. He has recently made a representation in the matter himself and necessary action is being taken thereon.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Shall we take up the amendments to the Inam Bill ?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—Yes, Sir.

LEGISLATIVE BUSINESS

MYSORE (PERSONAL AND MISCELLANEOUS) INAMS ABOLITION BILL, 1953.—(Contd.).

Mr. SPEAKER.—Clause 2.

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue and Public Works).—Sir, I beg to move the following amendment:—

‘That in Clause 2—(1) Renumber the existing clause as sub-clause (1) and in that sub-clause in item (12), omit the words commencing from ‘and includes a person’ to the end of the item and the explanation ;

(2) Renumber item (14) as item (15), and before the item as so renumbered insert the following item :—

“(14) ‘quasi-permanent tenant’ means a person who has been in continuous possession of any land used for agricultural purposes in an inam by cultivating such land himself with his own stock or by his hired servants or by hired labour or with hired stock on payment of rent to the inamdar for a period not less than six years prior to the first day of July 1948 ;

Explanation.—A person who under the terms of a contract—

(i) is entitled to grow subsidiary or ground crops on land on which areca, cocoanut or mango trees are grown ;

(ii) only for care or maintenance of areca, cocoanut or mango trees, is put in possession of the land on which the said trees are to be or are grown ;

(iii) for raising, care or maintenance of casuarina trees is put in possession of the land on which the said trees are to be or are grown ; shall not be deemed to be a quasi-permanent tenant in respect of such land.”

After the said sub-clause (1), add the following sub-clause, namely :—

“(2) ‘land revenue’ for purpose of determining the premium payable by a permanent tenant and a quasi-permanent tenant under sections 5 and 6, and the compensation payable to the inamdar under section 16, means the amount payable as land revenue for the land during the revenue year 1953-54.

Explanation.—In the case of an inam village to which survey and settlement has not been introduced under section 113 of the Land Revenue Code, the amount equal to the land revenue assessment levied on the same extent of similar land in an adjoining unalienated village during the revenue year 1953-54, shall be deemed to be the land revenue of the land for purposes of this sub-section’.”

Sir, in course of the debate, many Hon'ble Members objected to the deletion of the definition of “quasi-permanent tenant.” I have already explained under what circumstances the Select Committee changed the definition of the permanent tenant. In accordance with the wishes of the majority of the Members who spoke on the Bill, I have moved this amendment.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Amendment moved :

‘That in clause 2—(1) Renumber the existing clause as sub-clause (1) and in that sub-clause in item (12), omit the words commencing